DELAWARE FCEP IMPACT REVIEW

Debriefing Questions

Generally speaking, what was the quality of the DV-related information you saw in the files? Level of detail (from very sketchy to fully developed)

- Good examples?
- More problematic examples?

Relevance and/or relationship of the information to the task at hand

- Was enough information provided for the issue or task at hand?
 (ex: to put the opposing party on notice; to decide an emergency motion; to award custody, etc.)
- Was the *right kind* of information provided for the issue or task at hand? (*ex: history, nature and context, effect on children, impact on parenting and co-parenting*)

Were there notable differences in quality based on who provided the information?

- Parties
- Attorneys
- State actors (police, DFS, etc.)
- Evaluators (CASAs, GALs, etc.)

What factors might have contributed (both positively and negatively) to the quality of the DV-related information you saw in the files?

Forms Administrative practices Rules and regulations Concepts and theories Knowledge and training Instructions and resources (self-help material, advocates, attorneys) Linkages (data sources, system actors)

What impact (both positive and negative) did the quality of the DV-related information have on:

The institutional response? The alleged victim? The alleged offender? The children?

Where in the file did you not see information about DV that you expected to see?

Who had access to that information? Who would have been expected to provide that information? What consequence did the absence of that information have on:

- The institutional response
- The alleged victim
- The alleged offender
- The children

What might have contributed to the absence of DV-related information in those places you expected to see it (no instruction, nobody asked, not my job)?

Forms

Administrative practices Rules and regulations Concepts and theories Knowledge and training Instructions and resources (self-help material, advocates, attorneys) Linkages (data sources, system actors)

How persuasive was the DV-related information you saw in the file?

How did the institution respond to the information? Did institutional responders include any rationale for their response? If so, what did you see? Were there any differences based on:

- The source of the information? (party, attorney, police, DFS, GAL)
- The presence or absence of corroborating information? (charge, conviction, PFA, etc.)
- The timing, recency, or proximity of the information?
- The nature and context of abuse (physical, sexual, emotional, coercive controlling)
- The extent to which children were involved?
- The nature of the relief requested?
- The setting? (PFA, custody, pre-trial, post-decree modification, enforcement)